Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/353,847	LEE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jimmy H. Nguyen	2629
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>pending</u>	
(1) <u>Jimmy H. Nguyen</u> .	(3)	
(2) Eric Nuss (Applicants' rep.).	(4)	
Date of Interview:	Time: <u>10:30 am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	plicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
1, 9, 11, 19, 20, 22 and 23		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	The examiner will provide a ate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
J~4~		
(Examiner/SPE Sig nature) (Applic	cant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative agreed to amend claims 1, 9, 11, 19, 20, 22 and 23 to overcome minor informalities and to clarify the claimed invention. The proposed amendment is attached hereto. The amendments to these claims are provided in the Examiner's Amendment..